

SPRINGBOARD CHALLENGE
PLANNING GRANT Selection Criteria

Proposal Section	Review Criteria
Addresses unmet need in NDD / NID (20 pts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the applicant address a compelling unmet need in NDD or NID? • Is the solution relevant to multiple constituents in NDD or NID (academic, clinical, or commercial)? • Does the applicant address an issue from the Forum panels?
Impact (20 pts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the proposal have sufficient background to evaluate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Scope and impact of proposed solution; – The “space” in which solution would operate; – Competitive advantage compared to current methods • What is the expected impact on patients? • Is the proposed solution ambitious? • Are the expected rewards high if successful?
Project Plan (20 pts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the project plan, goals, and solution clearly described? • Are the proposed activities appropriate? • In line with funding provided?
Implementation (20 pts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the applicant have a compelling funding narrative? • Have follow-on funding sources or partnerships been identified? • Does solution describe a public-private partnership?
Team Personnel (20 pts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do team personnel have relevant core competencies? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Relevant scientific, clinical, regulatory, or commercial experience • Are team members comprised from multiple areas (academia, biopharma, government, patient advocacy, or foundations)? • Is at least one team member based in the US or UK? [Awards will be made to a team member in the US or UK.]
Overall Score (20 pts)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the overall score of the proposal?